## REMARKS

The Official Action of February 26, 2008, and the prior art cited and relied upon therein have been carefully studied. The claims in the application are now claims 2-8 and 10-19, and these claims define patentable subject matter warranting their allowance. Favorable reconsideration and such allowance are respectfully urged.

Claims 1 and 9 have been cancelled and new claims 14-19 added. Claims 2-8 and 10-19 remain in the application for consideration.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for her indication that claims 12 and 13 have been allowed and that claims 2-8, 10 and 11 would be allowable subject to being rewritten in independent form.

In response, Applicant has amended claims 2-8 to depend from allowed independent claim 12 and claim 10 to depend from allowed claim 13.

Further, Applicant has added new independent claim

14 which combines the features of allowed claim 12 and some

features of claims 2 and 3 and also added new dependent claims

15-19 dependent from new claim 14.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 2-8, 10 11 and 14-19 are now allowable along with

Appln. No. 10/549,362 Amdt. dated May 22, 2008 Reply to Office Action of February 26, 2008

allowed independent claims 12 and 13, and that this application is now in condition for allowance.

The prior art documents made of record and not relied upon have been noted along with the implication that such documents are deemed by the PTO to be insufficiently pertinent to warrant their applications against any of applicant's claims.

Favorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Norman J. Latker

Registration No. 19,963

NJL:ma

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\H\Hasl\Shotton5\pto\2008-05-22-Amendment.doc